UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,926	12/23/2004	Makoto Ishikawa	1422-0655PUS1	7260
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 09/04/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIRCH	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		KUGEL, TIMOTHY J		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			<u> </u>	
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/518,926		ISHIKAWA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Timothy J. K		1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, I - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or exten Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See :	FROM THE MAILING DA nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. re, the maximum statutory period v ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event will apply and will e e, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from stion to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	action is nor	or formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
4)	(s) is/are withdrawallowed. jected. objected to.	wn from cons						
Application Papers								
	is/are: a) accest that any objection to the eet(s) including the correct	epted or b) drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rawing Review (PTO-948)		I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/518,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 are pending as amended on 12 July 2007.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, US Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Amendment and Arguments

3. Applicant's amendment to independent claim 1, filed 12 July 2007, specifically amending the claim to require sucrose acetate isobutyrate as the emulsifying agent, overcomes the following:

The rejection of claims 1-6 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,193,986 (Sakurada hereinafter) has been withdrawn.

4. Applicant's amendment to claims 4 and 6, filed 12 July 2007, specifically adding the article 'A' prior to the word 'foodstuff' overcomes the following:

The objection to claims 4 and 6 because informalities has been withdrawn.

5. Applicant's arguments, filed 12 July 2007, have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1712

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 7, the inclusion of a term within parentheses renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the included term is part of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. New claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakurada.

Sakurada teaches a foodstuff (Column 1 Lines 5-13) comprising an oil-in-water emulsion (Column 1 Lines 14-33) wherein the oily phase comprises 0.5 to 50% of an emulsifier (Column 6 Lines 16-18)—which are surfactants having an HLB of not higher than 10 and preferably not higher than 1 (Column 4 Lines 44-52) including hexaglycerol trioleate and sucrose fatty acid esters as exemplified in the instant specification alone of in combination (Column 4 Line 53 – Column 5 Line 31)—and an oily component—including arachidonic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid (Column 5 Line 47 – Column 5 Line 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,926

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakurada as applied to claims 7-10 above in view of International Patent Application Publication WO 01/58279 (Takahashi hereinafter). US Patent Application Publication 2003/0035859 is the US equivalent to Takahashi and all references herein are taken therefrom.

Sakurada teaches a foodstuff comprising an oil-in-water emulsion wherein the oily phase comprises 0.5 to 50% of an emulsifier—which are surfactants having an HLB of not higher than 10 and preferably not higher than 1 including hexaglycerol trioleate and sucrose fatty acid esters as exemplified in the instant specification alone of in combination—and an oily component—including arachidonic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid as detailed above.

Sakurada does not disclose expressly the use of sucrose acetate butyrate as an emulsifying agent (¶0029).

Takahashi discloses that sucrose acetate isobutyrate is an equivalent emulsifying agent to the sucrose fatty acid esters taught by Sakurada.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the sucrose acetate isobutyrate emulsifying agent of Takahashi

Art Unit: 1712

in the composition of Sakurada. The motivation to do so would have been Takahashi that sucrose acetate isobutyrate is a functional equivalent to sucrose fatty acid esters. Further, it has been held that it is *prima facie* obviousness to use a known material based on its suitability for its intended use (*Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 US 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945), *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960) and *Ryco, Inc. v. Ag-Bag Corp.*, 857 F.2d 1418, 8 USPQ2d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 1988)).

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,926

Art Unit: 1712

273-8300.

11.

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Kugel whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

1460. The examiner can normally be reached 6:00 AM – 4:30 PM Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/TJK/

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1712

RANDY GULAKOWSKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700